Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik Prof. Dr. K. Ostermann

Sebastian Erdweg, seba@informatik Tillmann Rendel, rendel@informatik



February 1, 2010

## Programming Languages and Types Group Exercise 13

## G13.1 Existential Types

- 1. Existentials can be encoded as universals. How?
- 2. What must we prove to be confident that this encoding of existentials is correct?
- 3. To which theorem is the encoding related under the Curry-Howard isomorphism?

## G13.2 Higher-Order Types

- 1. What is the difference in meaning between the type-level expressions  $\forall X.X \to X$  and  $\lambda X.X \to X$ ?
- 2. Why doesn't an arrow type like Nat  $\rightarrow$  Nat have an arrow kind like  $* \Rightarrow *$ ?

## G13.3 Type Equivalence

Why do we need a formalization of type equivalence in System  $F_{\omega}$ ?

Suppose we add to the type equivalence relation one of the following rules. Which, if any, of the basic properties of the system would fail?

- 1.  $T \to T \equiv \forall X :: *.T$
- 2.  $S \to T \equiv T \to S$